IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION No. 5:18-CT-3228-D

BENJAMIN LOPEZ-PATINO,)
Plaintiff,)
v.	ORDER
CHAPLAIN ASKEW, et al.,)
Defendants.)

On April 19, 2019, Magistrate Judge Numbers issued a Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R") and recommended that the court dismiss Benjamin Lopez-Patino's ("Lopez-Patino") complaint [D.E. 29]. The deadline to object to the M&R was May 6, 2019. See id. at 6. On May 16, 2019, Lopez-Patino moved for entry of default [D.E. 30] and filed a letter concerning this action [D.E. 31]. On May 21, 2019, Lopez-Patino untimely objected to the M&R [D.E. 32].

"The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to make a de novo determination of those portions of the magistrate judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." <u>Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.</u>, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (emphasis, alteration, and quotation omitted); <u>see</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Absent a timely objection, "a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." <u>Diamond</u>, 416 F.3d at 315 (quotation omitted).

The court has reviewed the M&R, the record, and Lopez-Patino's untimely objection. The court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record. Accordingly, the court adopts the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 29].

In sum, the court ADOPTS the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 29] and DISMISSES the action for failure to state a claim under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). The court DENIES Lopez-Patino's motion for entry of default as moot [D.E. 30]. The clerk shall close the case.

SO ORDERED. This <u>14</u> day of May 2019.

JAMES C. DEVER III

United States District Judge